

INNOVATION AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN THE CONTEXT OF DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGE IN THE WORKPLACE

Robert Kowalschek¹

Received 04.08.2024.

Revised 15.10.2024.

Accepted 20.01.2025.

Keywords:

Innovation, Demographics, Workforce, Entrepreneurship.

Original research



ABSTRACT

The demographic change has emerged as a significant challenge and opportunity for modern enterprises. The evolving age demographics, increasing diversity, and prolonged participation of older adults in the workforce have altered workplace structures worldwide. This phenomenon presents both challenges and catalysts for innovation and entrepreneurship. Organizations must address the complexities of integrating multigenerational teams and managing talent amid workforce volatility. Conversely, these changes may stimulate entrepreneurial initiatives that respond to emerging market needs and leverage varied age-related expertise. This study investigates the convergence of demographic changes, innovation, and entrepreneurship. This study examines the influence of employee demography on organizational renewal, knowledge transfer, and the creation of entrepreneurial prospects, employing empirical evidence and theoretical frameworks. It ultimately offers legislative suggestions and managerial strategies designed to foster innovative and entrepreneurial outcomes amid ongoing demographic shifts.

© 2026 Journal of Innovations in Business and Industry

1. INTRODUCTION

The demographic transition is a vital subject impacting the worldwide workforce in the twenty-first century. Decreasing birth rates, increasing life expectancy, and evolving societal norms have led to labor markets characterized by significant age diversity, fluctuating labor supply, and emerging skill demands (Bloom, 2019). Concurrently, substantial technical advancements, including artificial intelligence, digital platforms, and robotics, are reshaping the nature of labor and altering organizational frameworks across several industries (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). These interconnected pressures create a complex environment in which businesses and entrepreneurs must adapt, innovate, and compete.

A significant indicator of demographic change is the rising proportion of older employees. Individuals are progressively postponing retirement and prolonging their

careers, either due to financial imperatives or personal aspirations to remain active in the profession (Loichinger & Weber, 2016). New generations enter the workforce with unique skill sets, particularly in digital technology and data analysis, but often require different job structures and growth pathways (Tapscott, 2009). Managing generational gaps and effectively utilizing age variety have become crucial duties for managers, legislators, and businesses (Jopp et al., 2017). Innovation and entrepreneurship are acknowledged as essential drivers of economic growth and competitiveness at both organizational and national levels (Schumpeter, 1934; Porter, 1990). In a rapidly changing environment, the ability to innovate and create new businesses is crucial for remaining pertinent and capitalizing on emerging opportunities. The demographic shift is set to influence the nature, trajectory, and effects of innovative and entrepreneurial endeavors by altering consumer markets, labor supply, and organizational knowledge foundations (Audretsch &

¹ Corresponding author: Robert Kowalschek
Email: Robert@ProSolutions-Consulting.com

Thurik, 2001). The increase in life expectancies and the rising purchasing power of older consumers generate new demands for products and services that cater to health, wellness, and leisure in later life (Silva & Kenney, 2018). The shortage of younger workers in certain regions can impede entrepreneurial dynamism unless alternative talent sources and strategies are implemented (Feldman et al., 2019).

This research aims to thoroughly examine the relationship between demographic shifts in the workplace and innovation and entrepreneurship. This study consolidates relevant information and presents examples of successful strategies, delivering crucial insights for managers, policymakers, and researchers seeking to optimize workforce potential in the context of rapid demographic shifts.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Demographic Change and Workforce Diversity

Demographic change typically refers to significant modifications in population statistics, such as age distribution, fertility rates, and migration trends (Bloom & Canning, 2004). In recent decades, many prosperous nations have experienced significant aging trends, marked by rising life expectancies and declining birth rates (United Nations, 2020). This tendency is not uniformly distributed; developing nations often possess younger demographic profiles, resulting in global labor imbalances and migration trends that may impact entrepreneurial ecosystems (Skeldon, 2012).

The concept of workforce diversity includes age, gender, ethnicity, cultural background, and specialist skills (Cox & Blake, 1991). Diverse workforces can stimulate inventive thinking by utilizing a wider range of perspectives and problem-solving approaches. Diversity may present managerial issues, such as communication barriers, potential conflicts, and misalignment of cultural expectations (Van Knippenberg et al., 2004). A well-managed diverse workforce can enhance creativity and increase organizational agility, which is essential in globalized, dynamic markets (Shin et al., 2012).

2.2 Innovation and Entrepreneurship

Innovation is typically defined as the implementation of new processes, products, or business models that confer a competitive advantage (Schumpeter, 1934; Drucker, 1985). Entrepreneurship is often associated with the creation and launch of new ventures that exploit market possibilities (Gartner, 1988). Corporate entrepreneurship, also known as intrapreneurship, involves entrepreneurial activities within established organizations and acts as a vital driver for renewal, strategic realignment, and diversification (Pinchot, 1985; Zahra et al., 1999).

Innovation and entrepreneurship have distinct but interrelated rationales within the broader economy. Schumpeter (1934) emphasized the entrepreneur's role as an agent of creative destruction, reallocating resources to

foster economic progress and replace obsolete systems. Recent research has expanded this viewpoint to emphasize open innovation, dynamic capabilities, and inter-organizational collaboration (Chesbrough, 2003; Teece, 2007). As economies become more interconnected and knowledge-based, the capacity for innovation and the propensity for entrepreneurial endeavors are crucial for sustaining competitiveness and growth (Fagerberg, 2018).

2.3 Linking Demographic Change with Innovation and Entrepreneurship

A plethora of theoretical and empirical studies have examined the influence of demographic changes on innovation and entrepreneurship. Senior entrepreneurs may be motivated by a desire for continued engagement or financial obligation, potentially creating specialized businesses that cater to older consumer segments (Kautonen et al., 2014). Younger personnel often exhibit digital competence and novel perspectives, promoting disruptive innovation within organizations. Age diversity has been shown to enhance team creativity by combining experiential knowledge with new, unconventional thought (Zhang et al., 2012).

Demographic shifts affect consumer behavior and market demand. The rising spending power of elderly individuals fosters the "silver economy," leading to the expansion of industries such as healthcare, wellness, tourism, and real estate (Silva & Kenney, 2018). Likewise, age cohorts such as Millennials and Generation Z exhibit unique consumer behaviors, facilitating the rise of gig platforms, shared economies, and digital services (Frey & Osborne, 2017). The changing market dynamics generate chances for entrepreneurial ventures to meet emerging demands, often requiring organizational modifications in talent acquisition and product development (Audretsch & Thurik, 2001).

3. THE IMPACT OF DEMOGRAPHIC CHANGES IN THE WORKPLACE

3.1 Multigenerational Teams

A notable consequence of demographic shifts is the increased prevalence of multigenerational teams within organizations (Bohl, 2019). Contemporary workplaces generally include employees from four or five generational cohorts: the Silent Generation, Baby Boomers, Generation X, Millennials, and Generation Z. This diversity can function as a substantial advantage. Senior personnel often have substantial tacit knowledge regarding internal processes, client relationships, and historical insights (Nonaka, 1994). Conversely, younger employees have considerable adaptability to technological improvements and can suggest disruptive ideas uninhibited by institutional memory (Hendricks & Cope, 2013).

Generational differences in communication methods, work values, and professional aspirations may hinder collaborative relationships (Zemke et al., 2013). Senior

employees may emphasize job security and loyalty, while younger generations may prefer purposeful work, independence, and rapid skill development (Tapscott, 2009). Mitigating these gaps necessitates deliberate leadership strategies, including mentorship programs, intergenerational knowledge-sharing initiatives, and flexible work arrangements that cater to diverse employee requirements (Higgs, 2009). When well implemented, such a system can enhance creativity by facilitating the interchange of ideas across various age demographics (De Dreu & West, 2001).

3.2 Skill Shortages and Re-skilling

Another facet of demographic transition is the looming shortage of trained labor in certain sectors, exacerbated by the retirement of significant cohorts of older employees (Fleischmann et al., 2015). Industries lacking expertise may need to retain older personnel or implement knowledge transfer mechanisms to maintain critical institutional memory (Armstrong-Stassen & Schlosser, 2008). Simultaneously, re-skilling initiatives are crucial for equipping the existing workforce—irrespective of age—to adjust to swiftly evolving technological demands (World Economic Forum, 2020). Entrepreneurship often emerges as a feasible alternative for those facing constraints in the labor market. Research suggests that older individuals may shift to self-employment upon reaching retirement age in the absence of suitable corporate roles (Kautonen et al., 2014). Similarly, younger individuals confronting high unemployment rates or skill gaps may be inclined to establish enterprises (Faria et al., 2010). Policymakers and educational institutions can encourage entrepreneurial initiatives by offering specialized training, mentorship, and financial incentives, thereby harnessing the potential of diverse age groups to promote innovation and job creation (Bosma et al., 2012).

3.3 Knowledge Transfer and Organizational Learning

Demographic changes can either impede or facilitate the distribution of information inside organizations. Mass retirements jeopardize the preservation of specialized knowledge, and generational turnover may erode organizational memory (Levy, 2011). Conversely, the incorporation of younger personnel may inject renewed energy and promote innovative learning possibilities. Maintaining and rejuvenating organizational knowledge requires formal mechanisms—such as recordkeeping systems and mentorship frameworks—coupled with a cultural environment that values experiential insights regardless of the source's age (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995).

Organizations that integrate both senior and junior staff into cross-functional, intergenerational teams are poised to improve their ambidexterity—the ability to utilize existing expertise while exploring novel ideas (O'Reilly & Tushman, 2013). These teams can enable gradual improvements while simultaneously investigating radical breakthroughs (March, 1991). By systematically

matching tasks and roles with the unique characteristics of different age groups, firms can transform perceived demographic disadvantages into opportunities for innovative collaboration (Wang & Dass, 2017).

3.4 Diversity and Inclusion as Catalysts for Creativity

Age profoundly influences demographic transformation, but interactions with gender, ethnicity, and culture also mold labor composition (Cox & Blake, 1991). As workforces become more diverse, companies must adopt inclusive policies that encourage workers from various backgrounds to express their opinions (Roberson, 2006). Empirical research has repeatedly linked inclusive organizational cultures to heightened creative production and improved problem-solving capabilities (Richard et al., 2013). In the framework of demographic shift, such inclusivity is vital for enhancing the entrepreneurial and innovative potential of diverse talent pools.

4. THE ROLE OF INNOVATION AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP

4.1 Entrepreneurial Opportunities from Demographic Shifts

Demographic changes provide new markets and client segments ready for innovation. The senior population, for example, drives the demand for healthcare technologies, assisted living solutions, and age-suitable product designs (Silva & Kenney, 2018). Entrepreneurs that identify specific obstacles faced by older consumers—such as mobility constraints, chronic health conditions, and social isolation—can develop tailored goods or platforms to address these needs. The rise of gig economies and digital platforms addresses the younger generations' preference for flexible employment and need for rapid career progression (Frey & Osborne, 2017).

Furthermore, demographic shifts related to cultural and ethnic diversity present potential for products and services catering to heterogeneous populations (Skeldon, 2012). Startups prioritizing inclusive design, linguistic accessibility, or culturally sensitive consumer experiences may capture market shares in increasingly varied regions. Social entrepreneurship demonstrates how demographic concerns, such as the need for eldercare or migrant integration, may be transformed into solutions that help vulnerable populations while delivering social and economic value (Mair & Marti, 2006).

4.2 Organizational Innovation and Adaptation

Established companies might utilize demographic changes as a stimulus for internal innovation. Corporate entrepreneurship initiatives, such as internal incubators, partnerships with startups, and investments in research and development, enable companies to adapt their business models in reaction to evolving workforce and market conditions (Zahra et al., 1999). Corporations may establish new ventures centered on lifestyle services for

retirees or adopt flexible staffing tactics that leverage remote work and freelance platforms favored by younger generations (Mishra et al., 2014).

Leadership is crucial in fostering an environment conducive to innovation. Senior leaders who promote diversity, mentor emerging entrepreneurs, and invest resources for innovation can foster organizational ambidexterity (Tushman & O'Reilly, 1996). The demographic shift evolves from a simple demographic fact into a strategic necessity that affects the firm's innovation path and competitive position (Levie & Lichtenstein, 2010).

5. CASE ILLUSTRATIONS AND EXEMPLARY STRATEGIES

Case 1: AgeTech Startups

The increasing elderly demographic has led to the emergence of "AgeTech" companies that combine digital technologies with services specifically designed for elders. Examples include telemedicine platforms, wearable health-monitoring devices, and social engagement initiatives designed to reduce isolation. Many companies thrive by recognizing an underserved yet expanding market segment with considerable buying capacity (Silverstein & Weil, 2013). As elderly individuals exhibit increased receptiveness to digital technologies, especially those that enhance healthcare efficiency or facilitate remote communication, AgeTech is strategically positioned to use demographic changes for entrepreneurial benefit.

Case 2: Corporate Re-skilling Initiatives

Prominent technology firms such as IBM and Accenture have proactively responded to demographic and technological shifts by implementing comprehensive re-skilling programs for their workforce (World Economic Forum, 2020). These programs prioritize continuous education, allowing personnel to adapt to evolving technical demands while the organization maintains institutional expertise. By systematically offering training, mentorships, and rotational assignments, these firms cultivate a workforce skilled in innovation to adapt to evolving markets. This technique mitigates the risk of skill deficiencies while fostering loyalty and engagement among a diverse, multigenerational workforce.

Case 3: Multi-Generational Entrepreneurship Programs

Many institutions and incubators have launched initiatives that link seasoned mentors with nascent entrepreneurs, promoting creative alliances that integrate innovative perspectives with established expertise (Kuratko & Hoskinson, 2018). Such combinations sometimes lead to co-founding agreements, where experienced entrepreneurs offer industry connections and financial resources, while their younger counterparts exhibit technical expertise and proficient problem-solving abilities. These experiments demonstrate that

fostering intergenerational collaboration can significantly improve entrepreneurial endeavors that address emerging market needs.

6. POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND MANAGERIAL RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Policy Implications

Governments and politicians may substantially impact the establishment of an environment that emphasizes innovation and the efficient use of human resources. Retirement and pension regulations must be reevaluated to encourage extended employment without penalizing older adults who choose to remain in the workforce or engage in entrepreneurship (Lee & Mason, 2010). Altering these frameworks is essential for empowering older workers to utilize their experience while concurrently diminishing social welfare costs. Secondly, initiatives designed to promote entrepreneurship—such as tax incentives, grants, or loan guarantees—should broaden eligibility to include older entrepreneurs or intergenerational teams (Kautonen et al., 2014). Such initiatives can enhance the engagement of the latent entrepreneurial potential among aging populations. Moreover, immigration policy should be formulated to address skill shortages. Allowing skilled migrants to enter the labor market can enhance diversity, stimulate innovation, and fill critical gaps caused by an aging workforce (Fargues, 2011). Policymakers should consider supporting cross-border collaborations and knowledge transfer initiatives. Ultimately, investing in ongoing education and re-skilling programs is vital. Public-private partnerships can improve the development of training infrastructures that guarantee the employability and innovation of the entire population throughout their careers (World Economic Forum, 2020).

6.2 Managerial Recommendations

From a managerial standpoint, numerous strategic approaches are available to use demographic changes for innovation and entrepreneurial outcomes. Organizations must to deliberately acknowledge age diversity as a significant asset. Leadership can establish cross-generational teams, mentorship programs, and platforms for open communication that facilitate knowledge transfer (Jopp et al., 2017). Secondly, human resource strategies must demonstrate adaptability by offering part-time or project-based positions for older employees and aligning professional development opportunities with the career ambitions of younger workers (Armstrong-Stassen & Schlosser, 2008).

Third, organizations should consistently promote intrapreneurial initiatives and experimental projects. This support encompasses the provision of designated funding, the creation of innovation laboratories, and the incentivization of staff who propose and implement groundbreaking ideas (Tidd & Bessant, 2018). Leaders must foster an inclusive culture that appreciates many perspectives, cultural backgrounds, and life experiences.

Encouraging respectful dialogue, collaborative problem-solving, and recognition of team accomplishments can cultivate an atmosphere where demographic variety stimulates innovation rather than hinders it (Van Knippenberg et al., 2004).

7. CONCLUSION

Demographic changes in the workplace are poised to alter the global economic landscape for the foreseeable future. The aging population, generational diversity, and multicultural workforces provide problems while simultaneously creating significant opportunities for innovation and entrepreneurship. Organizations that recognize and effectively respond to demographic shifts can attain competitive advantages by utilizing the insights, ingenuity, and unique perspectives of an evolving workforce. Moreover, entrepreneurial ventures designed to address the needs of diverse demographic groups are poised to thrive, thereby promoting economic growth and societal well-being.

The convergence of population change, innovation, and entrepreneurship is intricate. Alterations in the labor market influence team structure, skill accessibility, and the potential for intergenerational collaboration on the supply side. Shifting consumer demographics create a demand for innovative products and services tailored to age-specific or culturally unique needs. Reconciling these supply and demand aspects requires robust leadership, conducive legislation, and a willingness to embrace innovative organizational frameworks, such as flexible work arrangements and open cultures of experimentation.

Promoting sustainable innovation and entrepreneurship in light of demographic shifts necessitates collaboration among stakeholders at multiple levels, including governments, educational institutions, corporations, and grassroots entrepreneurial networks. By implementing the tactics and recommendations outlined in this paper, businesses can more effectively harness the potential of a changing workforce, thereby sustaining competitiveness and allowing society to benefit socioeconomically from inclusive, dynamic, and progressive enterprises.

References:

- Armstrong-Stassen, M., & Schlosser, F. (2008). Benefits of a supportive development climate for older workers. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 23(4), 419–437.
- Audretsch, D. B., & Thurik, R. (2001). What's new about the new economy? Sources of growth in the managed and entrepreneurial economies. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 10(1), 267–315.
- Bloom, D. E. (2019). Population 2050: Demographic megatrends and economic realities. *Finance & Development*, 56(1), 4–9.
- Bloom, D. E., & Canning, D. (2004). Global demographic change: Dimensions and economic significance. *National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper No. 10817*.
- Bohl, D. (2019). The importance of generational intelligence for high-performing work teams. *People and Strategy*, 42(2), 26–32.
- Bosma, N., Stam, E., & Wennekers, S. (2012). Entrepreneurial value creation at the micro level: A multi-dimensional perspective. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 30(2), 344–364.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W. W. Norton.
- Chesbrough, H. (2003). *Open Innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Cox, T. H., & Blake, S. (1991). Managing cultural diversity: Implications for organizational competitiveness. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 5(3), 45–56.
- De Dreu, C. K., & West, M. A. (2001). Minority dissent and team innovation: The importance of participation in decision making. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(6), 1191–1201.
- Drucker, P. (1985). *Innovation and entrepreneurship*. Harper & Row.
- Fagerberg, J. (2018). Mobilizing innovation for sustainability transitions: A comment on transformative innovation policy. *Research Policy*, 47(9), 1568–1576.
- Faria, J. R., Cuestas, J. C., & Mourelle, E. (2010). Entrepreneurship and unemployment: A nonlinear bidirectional causality? *Economic Modelling*, 27(5), 1282–1291.
- Fargues, P. (2011). International migration and the demographic transition: A two-way interaction. *International Migration Review*, 45(3), 588–614.
- Feldman, M., Siegel, D. S., & Wright, M. (2019). New developments in innovation and entrepreneurial ecosystems. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 28(4), 817–826.
- Fleischmann, M., Koster, F., & Schippers, J. (2015). Nothing left to lose? Organizing and older workers' attitudes towards their future employment prospects. *Work, Employment and Society*, 29(3), 530–544.
- Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 114, 254–280.

- Gartner, W. B. (1988). "Who is an entrepreneur?" is the wrong question. *American Journal of Small Business*, 12(4), 11–32.
- Hendricks, J., & Cope, M. (2013). Generational intelligence in the digital era. *Generations*, 37(3), 26–31.
- Higgs, M. (2009). The good, the bad and the ugly: Leadership and narcissism. *Journal of Change Management*, 9(2), 165–178.
- Jopp, D., Boerner, K., Ribeiro, O., & Rott, C. (2017). Age and aging in the context of work and organizations. *Work, Aging and Retirement*, 3(3), 297–304.
- Kautonen, T., Down, S., & Minniti, M. (2014). Ageing and entrepreneurial preferences. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 52(4), 550–572.
- Kuratko, D. F., & Hoskinson, S. (2018). *The challenges of corporate entrepreneurship in the disruptive age*. Emerald Publishing.
- Lee, R., & Mason, A. (2010). Fertility, human capital, and economic growth over the demographic transition. *European Journal of Population*, 26(2), 159–182.
- Levie, J., & Lichtenstein, B. (2010). A terminal assessment of stages theory: Introducing a dynamic states approach to entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 34(2), 317–350.
- Levy, M. (2011). Knowledge retention: Minimizing organizational business loss. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15(4), 582–600.
- Loichinger, E., & Weber, D. (2016). Trends in working life expectancy in Europe. *Journal of Aging and Health*, 28(7), 1194–1213.
- Mair, J., & Marti, I. (2006). Social entrepreneurship research: A source of explanation, prediction, and delight. *Journal of World Business*, 41(1), 36–44.
- March, J. G. (1991). Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 71–87.
- Mishra, A., Boynton, L., & Mishra, S. (2014). Driving employee engagement: The expanded role of internal communications. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 51(2), 183–202.
- Nonaka, I. (1994). A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. *Organization Science*, 5(1), 14–37.
- Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The knowledge-creating company*. Oxford University Press.
- O'Reilly, C., & Tushman, M. (2013). Organizational ambidexterity: Past, present, and future. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 27(4), 324–338.
- Pinchot, G. (1985). *Intrapreneuring: Why you don't have to leave the corporation to become an entrepreneur*. Harper & Row.
- Porter, M. E. (1990). *The competitive advantage of nations*. Free Press.
- Richard, O. C., Kirby, S. L., & Chadwick, K. (2013). The impact of structural diversity on firm innovation and performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 34(1), 164–182.
- Roberson, Q. (2006). Disentangling the meanings of diversity and inclusion in organizations. *Group & Organization Management*, 31(2), 212–236.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). *The theory of economic development*. Harvard University Press.
- Shin, S. J., Kim, T., Lee, J. Y., & Bian, L. (2012). Cognitive team diversity and individual team member creativity: A cross-level interaction. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(1), 197–212.
- Silva, E. B., & Kenney, M. (2018). Aging societies and the silver economy: Opportunities for entrepreneurship. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 135, 265–276.
- Silverstein, M., & Weil, D. (2013). Reconstructing retirement: New pathways each working season. *Journal of Retirement*, 1(1), 115–130.
- Skeldon, R. (2012). Migration transitions revisited: Their continued relevance for the development of migration theory. *Population, Space and Place*, 18(2), 154–166.
- Tapscott, D. (2009). *Grown up digital: How the net generation is changing your world*. McGraw-Hill.
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319–1350.
- Tidd, J., & Bessant, J. (2018). *Innovation management challenges: From fads to fundamentals*. Wiley.
- Tushman, M. L., & O'Reilly, C. A. (1996). Ambidextrous organizations: Managing evolutionary and revolutionary change. *California Management Review*, 38(4), 8–30.
- United Nations. (2020). *World Population Prospects 2020 Highlights*. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division.
- Van Knippenberg, D., De Dreu, C. K. W., & Homan, A. C. (2004). Work group diversity and group performance: An integrative model and research agenda. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(6), 1008–1022.
- Wang, J., & Dass, M. (2017). Building innovation capability: The role of top management innovativeness and relative-exploration orientation. *Journal of Business Research*, 70, 279–287.
- World Economic Forum. (2020). *The future of jobs report*. World Economic Forum.
- Zahra, S. A., Kuratko, D. F., & Jennings, D. F. (1999). Entrepreneurship and the acquisition of dynamic organizational capabilities. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 23(3), 5–10.

- Zemke, R., Raines, C., & Filipczak, B. (2013). *Generations at work: Managing the clash of boomers, gen xers, and gen yers in the workplace*. AMACOM.
- Zhang, X., Waldman, D. A., & Wang, Z. (2012). A multilevel investigation of leader-member exchange, informal leader emergence, and individual and team performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 65(1), 49–78.

Robert Kowalschek

AFUM Akademie fuer

Unternehmensmanagement

Germany.

[Robert@ProSolutions-](mailto:Robert@ProSolutions-Consulting.com)

[Consulting.com](mailto:Robert@ProSolutions-Consulting.com)

ORCID 0009-0003-8017-4587
